

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,166		11/17/2000	Paul A. Medwick	1559A1	4576
24959	7590	03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
PPG INDU		: -	PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
INTELLEC ONE PPG P		ROPERTY DEPT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBUR		15272		1771	20
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Interview Summary

Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
09/714,166	MEDWICK ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Andrew T Piziali	1771				

	Andrew T Piziali	1771					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) Andrew T Piziali.	(3)						
(2) <u>Jacques Miles</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 25 February 2004.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)  applicant's representative	<b>)</b> ]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	•					
Claim(s) discussed: All.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>USPN 6,045,896 and USPN 5,821,001</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)☐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claimed shading coefficient value. Discussed the addition of a new independent claim. The examiner suggested that the applicant submit data showing that Boire does not possess the claimed shading coefficient. The examiner suggested that the applicant submit arguments against the modification of the layer thicknesses of Boire. The examiner indicated that the addition of a new independent claim would likely result in new issue.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Evaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	0-26	2.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

ANDREW T. PIZIALI PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required